

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/496,607	02/02/2000	Sarit Neter	YMEDIA.001A	6486	
28112 7590 06/14/2006			EXAMINER		
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES 28 DAVIS AVENUE			MOE, AUNG SOE		
	SIE, NY 12603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/496,607	NETER, SARIT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Aung S. Moe	2618	

		Aung S. Moe	2618	
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	iress
THE REI	PLY FILED 02 <u>June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		<u>-</u>	
1. ⊠ The this pla a F	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on a application, applicant must timely file one of the followices the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	the same day as filing a Notion ving replies: (1) an amendmentice of Appeal (with appeal fee	ce of Appeal. To avoid about, affidavit, or other evide e) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔯	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set		
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE 06.07(f).	N THE FIRST REPLY WAS I	FILED WITHIN
have beer under 37 (set forth in may reduce	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exicCFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding an shortened statutory period for repl than three months after the maili	nount of the fee. The approp ly originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
filir	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of tl	
3. 🛛 Tr	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b)	☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	w);	•	the issues for
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	· -	lly rejected claims.	
4. □ Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		on compliant anonamone	(1.102.024).
6. 🔲 N	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).		rate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. 🛭 Fo hov The	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: him(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Cla Cla	him(s) one-ded to: him(s) objected to: him(s) rejected: <u>1,3,29,31,38 and 43-48.</u> him(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 The	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims a	fter entry is below or attac	hed.
11. 🔲 TI 	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applica	tion in condition for allowa	nce because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ther:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)	
_			Aung S. Moe Primary Examiner	
			/ Art Unit: 2618	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 1 and 38 would require further consideration and/or search.